



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 368/2025 & I.A. 13794/2025**

MR. SUNIL DUBEYPlaintiffs

Through: Mr. Vinit Trehan and Mr.
Yash Srivastava, Advs.

Versus

MR. ANIL DUBEY & ANR.Defendants

Through: Appearance not given for
D-1.
D-2 is a proforma party.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER

02.12.2025

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

1. As per office noting, vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 1 vide diary no. 6320078/2025
2. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that till date no written statement has been filed on behalf of defendant no.1. Only written synopsis/submissions has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 1 without denial of averments made in the plaint.
3. In the last order dated, due to inadvertent mistake it has been come on record that the defendant no. 1 has filed the written statement though only written synopsis had been filed.
4. Defendant no. 1 has failed to file written statement as per law despite expiry of 120 days from date of service. Therefore, right of defendant no.1 to file written statement stands closed.



5. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff further submits that defendant no. 2 is proforma party who is supporting the case of plaintiff.
6. Issue fresh summons to defendant no. 2 through all permissible modes.
7. Re-notify the present matter further proceedings on **12th February, 2026.**

GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
DECEMBER 02, 2025/PU
Click here to check corrigendum, if any