

\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 458/2019 & I.A. 11598/2019 (u/O XXXIX R 1&2 CPC)**
VOLTAS LIMITED

..... Plaintiff

Represented by: Ms. Kanika Singhal, Ms. Saloni
Agarwal, Advs.

versus

**SHAPOORJI PALLONJI AND COMPANY PRIVATE LIMITED &
ORS.**

..... Defendant

Represented by: Mr. Palash Singhai, Adv. for D-1.
Mr. Narender Bhandari, Mr.
Devaggya Kainth, Adv. for
D-2/NBCC.
Ms. Manisha Agrawal, Ms. Rakshita
Goyal, Advs. for D-3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

% **27.02.2020**
I.A. 1624/2020 (u/O I R 10 CPC by P)

1. In view of the contention of defendant No.3 that the defendant No.3 is not the organization/ body which had issued the parent contract to the defendant No.2 and thus not a necessary party, the plaintiff has filed the present application seeking to implead State of Haryana through the Health and Medical Education; Chandigarh through its Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary as the defendant. However, notice to the proposed defendant could not be issued as process fee was returned under objection.

2. On the plaintiff taking steps issue fresh notice to the proposed defendant through ordinary and dasti process, registered AD and speed post returnable before Court on 20th April, 2020.

I.A. 17393/2019 (u/O I R 10(2) CPC by D-3)

List on 20th April, 2020.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

FEBRUARY 27, 2020

‘ga’