



\$~3

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(COMM) 458/2019

VOLTAS LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Anindita Burman, Mr. Siddhant
Bajaj, Advs.

versus

SHAPOORJI PALLONJI AND COMPANY PRIVATE
LIMITED & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Sudiksha Saini, Adv. for D-1.

None for D-2.

Proxy Counsel for Mr. Samar Vijay
Singh, Adv. for D-3 (appearance not
given) (through VC).

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER
KUMAR GARG (DHJS)**

ORDER

08.05.2025

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**I.A. No. 1750/2025 (by plaintiff for condonation of delay
in filing separate rejoinders to replies of D-1 and D-2)**

1. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 already submitted that he did not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA and has no objection if the same is allowed.
2. As per office noting, notice of the captioned IA has been served upon the defendant no.2.
3. No reply to the captioned IA has been filed on behalf of the defendant no.2. There is no appearance on behalf of the defendant no.2. Let reply to the captioned IA be filed

by defendant no.2 within four weeks and rejoinder thereto
be filed within two weeks thereafter.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/05/2025 at 11:35:22.



I.A. No. 7071/2024 (moved by D-3 u/S 8 of Arbitration & Conciliation Act)

4. It was already submitted on behalf of defendant no.1 that she did not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA and has no objection if the same is allowed.
5. Reply to the captioned IA was already filed on behalf of the plaintiff.
6. Neither rejoinder nor vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of the defendant no.3.
7. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3 has submitted that main counsel Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, Adv. has been recently engaged and needs some time for filing vakalatnama and rejoinder to the reply of plaintiff. Let the same be filed within three weeks.

I.A. No. 11598/2019 (by plaintiff for stay)

8. Perusal of record shows that separate replies to captioned IA has been filed by defendants no.1 and 2.
9. Perusal of record further shows that separate rejoinders to the above said replies were already filed on behalf of plaintiff. However, application for condonation of delay in filing the said rejoinders is pending for consideration.
10. No reply to the captioned IA has been filed on behalf of defendant no.3 despite many opportunities.

CS(COMM) 458/2019

11. Pleadings in respect of defendants no.1 & 2 are already complete.
12. Perusal of record further indicates that written statement and affidavit of admission/denial were already filed on behalf of defendant no.3.

13. No replication and affidavit of admission/denial has been



filed on behalf of the Plaintiff qua defendant no.3. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.

14.It is submitted that application u/S 8 of Arbitration & Conciliation Act on behalf of the defendant no.3 is also pending for consideration.

15.Let the pleadings be completed as per law.

16.Re-notify the present matter for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **23rd July, 2025.**

DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MAY 8, 2025/v

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/05/2025 at 11:35:22