



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 458/2019 & I.A. 11598/2019 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC)**

VOLTAS LIMITED

..... Plaintiff

Represented by: Mr.Jayant Mehta, Ms.Kanika Singhal
and Ms.Smiti Verma, Advocates.

versus

**SHAPOORJI PALLONJI AND COMPANY
PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS.**

..... Defendants

Represented by: Mr.Palash Singhai and Mr.Sharad
Sharma, Advocates for defendant
No.1/Shapoorji Pallonji.
Mr.Narender Bhandari and
Mr.Devaggya Kainth, Advocates for
defendant No.2/NBCC.
Ms.Manisha Agrawal Narain and
Ms.Rakshit Goyal, Advocates for
defendant No.3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

04.12.2019

%

1. Though written statement filed by defendant No.3 has been placed on record, learned counsel for the defendant No.3 states that the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the written statement has not been placed on record. She will ensure that the same is placed on record. She also states that the defendant No.3 has also filed an application under Order I Rule 10 CPC which has also not been listed.

CS(COMM) 458/2019

Page 1 of 2



2. Learned counsel for the plaintiff states that the advance copy of the application under Order I Rule 10 CPC and the written statement has been served on the plaintiff wherein the stand taken by defendant No.3 is that the contract in connection of which the plaintiff seeks a decree for recovery of the money was not awarded by the defendant No.3. He states that in view of this statement of defendant No.3, the plaintiff would also like to implead the concerned parties as well.

3. At request, list on 27th February, 2020.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

DECEMBER 04, 2019
‘vn’

CS(COMM) 458/2019

Page 2 of 2