



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 233/2018**

AMIR CHAND JAGDISH KUMAR EXPORTS LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Shruti Manchanda, Adv.
(through VC)
(M- 7678520846)
(shruti@unitedandunited.com)

versus

MIRAJ PRODUCTS (P) LTD.

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Nidhi Pandey, Adv.

CORAM:
SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

ORDER
28.05.2025

%

The pleadings qua defendant no. 1 are already complete.

The written statement of defendant no. 2 would only come on record after the costs imposed today are cleared and the time to file the replication in respect thereof would reckon only thereafter.

Once their pleadings are completed, the parties shall then file the photocopies of their admitted-documents, if not already filed and the joint schedule of the documents.

List the matter for admission-denial and marking of exhibits on **25.08.2025**.

IA No. 1086/2025 (By defendant no. 2 u/s 5 of the Limitation Act r/w Section 151 CPC seeking condonation of delay in filing the written statement)

The counsel for the plaintiff, though has filed her reply, is



only insisting for costs. Keeping in view the duration of the delay, the application is allowed, subject to the costs of Rs. 10,000/- to be payable to the counsel for the plaintiff within four weeks.

IA No. 1560/2025 (By defendant no. 2 u/o 11 Rule 1(10) CPC as amended by CCA r/w Section 151 CPC)

The plaintiff has already filed the reply. The counsel for the plaintiff states that the application may be allowed without prejudice to her rights and contentions. This application is accordingly allowed. The plaintiff may now file the affidavit of admission/denial qua these additional documents of the defendant no. 2 before the next hearing.

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 28, 2025/jr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)