



\$~2

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
C.O.(COMM.IPD-PAT) 11/2025
MKU LIMITED THROUGH AUTHORISED
REPRESENTATIVE MR. VAIBHAV GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aishvary Vikram, Mr. Lucky
Sharma (VC), Advocates

versus

ASHISH KANSAL & ANR.Respondent

Through: Ms. Yogita Rathore, Advocate
for R-1 and 3

Ms. Nidhi Raman (CGSC),
Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocate for
R-2

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER

16.12.2025

%

**I.A. 31469/2025 on behalf of respondent no. 1 seeking
condonation of delay of 3 days in filing reply to the subject
revocation petition.**

1. Issue notice. Notice accepted by Id. Counsel for the petitioner. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no objection if the application is allowed.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, application is allowed. Delay stands condoned. Reply to revocation petition on behalf of respondent no. 1 is taken on record. **IA stands disposed off.** Respondent no. 1 is directed to re-file the reply within 3 days whereafter the Registry is directed to place the same on record.



I.A. 31470/2025 on behalf of respondent no. 3 seeking condonation of delay of 3 days in filing reply to the subject revocation petition.

3. Issue notice. Notice accepted by Id. Counsel for the petitioner. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no objection if the application is allowed.

4. For the reasons stated in the application, application is allowed. Delay stands condoned. Reply to revocation petition on behalf of respondent no. 3 is taken on record. **IA stands disposed off.** Respondent no. 3 is directed to re-file the reply within 3 days whereafter the Registry is directed to place the same on record.

I.A. 21697/2025 (Under Order I Rule 10 CPC)

5. Reply on behalf of the petitioner is on record. Despite two weeks having been granted to file a rejoinder on 08.10.2025, rejoinder is yet to be filed. Ld. Counsel for respondent no. 1 submits that rejoinder could not be filed as an objection was taken regarding the insufficient stamp duty on the patent assignment agreement and respondent no. 1 is in the process of ascertaining the correct stamp duty. Let the rejoinder be now filed within three weeks failing which right to file the rejoinder shall stand closed without further orders. Put up again.

C.O.(COMM.IPD-PAT) 11/2025

6. Amended memo of parties is on record.

7. Replies on behalf of respondent nos. 1 & 3 have been brought on record today. Rejoinder to the replies, if any, be filed as per the timeline already indicated by the Hon'ble Court, as worked out from today.



8. Matter is already listed before the Hon'ble Court for 27.01.2026. Be placed before the Hon'ble Court on the date already fixed i.e. 27.01.2026, for further directions.

Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

DECEMBER 16, 2025/sk