



\$~7

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CS(OS) 431/2020**  
**SH. PARVEEN PAL BAJAJ,**

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Punya Bajaj, Adv.

versus

**SHRI SUNIL BAJAJ & ORS.**

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Deepak Thukral, Adv. for D-2, 3  
& 5, Ms. Nitya Ahuja on behalf  
counsel for defendant, Mr. B.S.  
Dhir, Adv. Ms. Sukhneet Kaur Dhir,  
Adv. for D-1, 4, 6 & 7

**CORAM:**

**MS. VANDANA JAIN (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR(JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**

% **02.08.2022**

**Regular Steno is on leave. No substitute provided.**

Pleadings qua defendant no. 1, 4 & 7 are complete. Replication to written statement of defendant no. 2, 3 & 5 not filed by plaintiff. The Statutory period prescribed in CPC for filing of replication by the plaintiff has already expired. No application for enlargement of time has been moved. Hence, opportunity to file replication is hereby closed.

Written statement not filed by defendant no. 9 to 13. The statutory period for filing of written statement on behalf of defendants



has already expired and the benefit of extension of limitation period as granted by Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Suo Motu Writ Petition (C)No.3/2020* is also over. Hence, opportunity to file written statement on behalf of defendant no. 9 to 13 stands closed.

Defendant no. 8 is still unserved. Affidavit of service has been filed but the same is not accompanied with the tracking reports. Therefore, same cannot be considered. Steps be taken again to serve defendant no. 8 in terms of last order.

Documents, if e-filed be filed physically by parties so as to mark the exhibits.

After completion of pleadings, Joint Document Schedule in terms of Rule 7 A of Chapter VII of The Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 be filed physically by plaintiff after getting it signed from defendants.

Put up for service of defendant no. 8 and marking of exhibits to the documents on 25.11.2022.

**VANDANA JAIN (DHJS)**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR(JUDICIAL)**

**AUGUST 2, 2022/MP (DEO)**