



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 559/2021 & I.A. 14487-88/2021**

CIPLA LIMITED

..... Plaintiff

Through Ms. Archana Sahadeva, Adv.

versus

CONCEPT BIOSCIENCES INDIA PVT. LTD

& ORS.

.... Defendants

Through None for D-1 to 6
Mr. Saransh Saini, Adv. for D-7

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

ORDER

% **15.02.2022**

1. None has appeared on behalf of defendant Nos.1 to 6.
2. Pursuant to order dated 10.11.2021, Court Commissioner/ Local Commissioner was appointed and he visited the premises of defendant No.1. Thus, it is deemed that service is effected upon the defendant No.1, however, despite that none has appeared on behalf of said defendant.
3. As per affidavit of service filed by plaintiff, defendant Nos.2 to 6 stand served, however, none has also appeared on their behalf.
4. In the interest of justice, I refrain from passing any adverse order against the said defendants.
5. Learned counsel for defendant No.7 has entered appearance and has submitted that injunction can be passed without claiming compensation and damages against defendant No.7.
6. Learned counsel for plaintiff seeks time to take instructions and



assist the Court on the next date of hearing.

7. Renotify on 29.04.2022.

SURESH KUMAR KAIT, J

FEBRUARY 15, 2022/rk