



\$~46 to 48

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 807/2023 & CRL.M.A. 20277/2023

KAILASH JADHAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Ayushi Kashyap,  
Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. ....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam,  
APP for the State.

Mr. Satyam Chaturvedi,  
Mr. Vikas Gogne and Ms.  
Tanvi Seth, Advocates for  
R-2.

+ CRL.REV.P. 903/2023 & CRL.M.A. 23481/2023,  
CRL.M.A. 23482/2023

KAILASH JADHAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Ayushi Kashyap,  
Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. ....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam,  
APP for the State.

Mr. Satyam Chaturvedi,  
Mr. Vikas Gogne and Ms.  
Tanvi Seth, Advocates for  
R-2.

+ CRL.REV.P. 904/2023 & CRL.M.A. 23483/2023,  
CRL.M.A. 23484/2023

KAILASH JADHAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Ayushi Kashyap,  
Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. ....Respondents



Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam,  
APP for the State.  
Mr. Satyam Chaturvedi,  
Mr. Vikas Gogne and Ms.  
Tanvi Seth, Advocates for  
R-2.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**17.11.2025**

1. The learned proxy counsel for the petitioner requests for an adjournment on account of non-availability of the learned counsel who has to address arguments in the present matter.
2. The request is vehemently opposed by the learned counsel for the respondent no.2, stating that he has been waiting since morning and such request should have been mentioned before the Court in the morning itself.
3. The learned counsel for the respondent no.2 submits that multiple adjournments have been sought by the petitioner since 2024.
4. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to address arguments on payment of cost of ₹15,000/- to be paid to respondent no.2.
5. List on 16.02.2026.
6. A copy of this order be placed in all the matters.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**NOVEMBER 17, 2025**

*DV*