



\$~O-34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 356/2025 and CRL.M.A. 22722/2025**

MR. ANUJ DEV TYAGI

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Jai Bansal, Mr. Darshan Paliwal,
Mrs. Neeraj Paliwal, Ms. Rakshita
Saxena and Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.

versus

MR. YASH TYAGI & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Sunil Dutt Dixit, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **02.12.2025**

I.A. 13552/2025 FOR INJUNCTION

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties on the instant application.
2. A bare perusal of the document dated 18.10.2024 placed on record by defendant nos. 1 to 3, would indicate that there are various inconsistencies, such as, the stamp paper itself was purchased on 19.10.2024.
3. Besides the aforesaid, even the transactions which actually have occurred in the year 2025, could not have been captured on 18.10.2024.
4. Under these circumstances, the Court directs the said defendants to explain the aforesaid inconsistencies by way of a proper affidavit, within a period of four weeks.
5. Depending upon the same, the Court shall consider to finally decide the instant application.



6. In the meantime, it is further directed that no third-party right shall be created by the defendants with respect to the suit properties as mentioned in paragraph no.1 of the plaint.
7. List on 02.03.2026.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

DECEMBER 2, 2025
aks/mj