



\$~6

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 424/2020, I.A. 47410/2024, I.A. 42268/2024 , I.A.
37303/2024, I.A. 39586/2024, I.A. 36736/3034, I.A. 35320/2024

UNION BANK OF INDIA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Pavan Duggal, Ms. Devyani Sangwan,
Mr. Anish Bachchan, Advocates (Through VC).

versus

RAMIT VOHRA AND ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandar, Mr. Arjit Sharma,
Mr. Nikunj Bindal, Advs. for D-1.
Mr. Vaarish K. Sawlani, Mr. Mohit Singh
Advs. for D-2.
Mr. Ujjwal Bhargava, Adv. for proposed
D-4, M. 9399304251
ujjwal.bhargava@mason.co.in
Mr. Sahil Tagotra, Sujay Jain, Advs for D-3
(Through VC)

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS)

ORDER

% **06.12.2024**

Matter is taken up through physical hearing as well as video conferencing.

I.A. 47410/2024 (Application u/S. 151 CPC filed by defendant no.2 for exemption from filing apostle version of Reply/affidavits)

Ld. Counsel for defendant no.2 has stated that he has received the apostled Reply / affidavits from defendant no.2 and he will file the same in due course.

Heard. The said documents be filed with the Registry within one week with advance copy to the opposite party. **I.A. stands disposed off.**



I.A. 42268/2024 (Application u/S. 151 CPC filed by plaintiff for condonation of delay in re-filing the application IA 39586/2024)

Ld. Counsel for defendant no.2 has stated that he does not wish to file Reply to this application.

Heard. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances mentioned in the application, the application stands allowed and delay in re-filing IA 39586/2024 is condoned. **I.A. stands disposed off.**

I.A. 39586/2024 (Application under Rule 3(i)(d) r/w Rule 3(i)(b)(v)(xi) of Information Technology (intermediary guidelines and digital medical ethics codes) Rules, 2021 r/w Sec. 79 of the Information Technogly Act, 2000 r/w Sec. 151 CPC filed by plaintiff)

Ld. Counsel for defendant no.2 has stated that he had already filed notorised reply but now he will file the apostle Reply within one week. The same may be filed with the Registry with advance copy to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff. Rejoinder if any may be filed by plaintiff within one week.

I.A. 36736/3034 (Application u/O VII Rule 14 CPC filed by plaintiff)

Rejoinder has not been filed by plaintiff to the Reply of defendant no.1. At request, last and final opportunity is granted to plaintiff for filing Rejoinder within two weeks with advance copy to opposite party. Defendants no.2 and 3 have already stated that they do not wish to file Reply to this I.A.

I.A. 35320/2024 (Application u/O I Rule 10 CPC r/w Order VI Rule 17 CPC filed by plaintiff for impleadment of proposed defendant no.4)

Ld. Counsel for proposed defendant no.4 is directed to file e-mail ID and vakalatnama on record with advance copy to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel for proposed defendant no.4 has stated that he wants to file Reply to this application but it seems that there are certain clerical errors and application is not complete.



Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has requested for withdrawal of the application with liberty to file fresh application.

Heard. The application stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh application within one week with advance copy to the opposite party and proposed defendant no.4.

I.A. 37303/2024 (Application u/O VI Rule 17 CPC filed by plaintiff)

Ld. Counsel for defendants no. 2 and 3 have stated that they do not wish to file Reply to the abovesaid IA. Defendant no.1 has not filed any Reply till date.

At request, last and final opportunity is granted to defendant no.1 for filing Reply within two weeks with advance copy to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Matter is already coming up before Hon'ble Court for further directions on 11.12.2024.

**TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

DECEMBER 6, 2024/sm