



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 424/2020, IA 10453/2022**

UNION BANK OF INDIA

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Pavan Duggal, Ms. Devyani
Sangwan, Advocates.

versus

RAMIT VOHRA AND ORS.

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Nachiket Chawla, Advocate.
Mr. Tejas, Mr. Mohit Singh, Mr.
Vaarish K. Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **05.04.2024**

CS(OS) 424/2020 & 12029/2020 (under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 CPC)

1. On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are framed:-

(i) Whether the defendants, principal officers, directors, agents, associates, representatives, franchises, servants and attorneys from defaming the plaintiffs are liable to be restrained from defaming the plaintiff its managing director and its officers? OPP.

(ii) Whether the defendants, principal officers, directors, agents, associates, representatives, franchises, servants and attorneys are liable to be restrained to publishing in the electronic world and in the physical world or in actual world any information concerning the plaintiff which is defamatory, derogatory, abusive, humiliating, and which tend to deprave and



corrupt the minds of those who are likely to see, read or hear the same? OPP.

(iii) Whether defendants, principal officers, directors, agents, associates, representatives, franchises, servants and attorneys are liable to be restrained from infringing the copyright of the plaintiff in its name, including on the computer platforms of the defendant no.2 and 3 and also on other computer platforms and computer resources of another kind? OPP.

(iv) Whether the defendants no.2 and 3, their principal officers, directors, agents, associates, representatives, franchises, servants and attorneys are liable to be restrained from destroying, deleting or removing in any manner whatsoever the entire computer logs and relevant electronic records connected with the matter, their computer systems and computer servers and computer networks and also any other information or logs which has connection or relevant with the aforesaid acts? OPP.

(v) Whether the defendants, their principal officers, directors, agents, associates, representatives, franchises, servants and attorneys are liable to be directed to disable and remove the access to the offending, defamatory, derogatory, humiliating and abusive video posts as mentioned in the plaint? OPP.

(vi) Whether defendant no.3, Principal officers, directors, agents, associates, representatives, franchises, servants and attorneys are liable to be directed to delete all the aforesaid video posts/connected electronic records and information including on the Meta Platforms Inc accounts <HTTPS://WWW.facebook.com/ramit.vohra.14>? OPP.

(vii) Whether the defendant no.3, principal officers, directors, agents, associates, representatives, franchises, servants and attorneys are liable to be



directed to make inoperative, deactivate and suspend the meta platform. Inc's Facebook account <HTTPS://WWW.facebook.com/ramit.vohra.14?> OPP.

(viii) Whether the plaintiff is entitled to damages in the sum of Rs.2,00,05,000/-?OPP.

(ix) Whether the plaintiff is entitled to future and pendent lite interest, if yes on what rate? OPP.

(x) Whether defendant no.2 and 3 are Intermediaries and protected under under Section 79 of IT Act, 2000?

(xi) Relief.

2. The parties are directed to file the list of witnesses within 15 days. The plaintiff to file an affidavit by way of examination-in-chief within four weeks.

3. List before the learned Joint Registrar for recording of the evidence on 10.07.2024.

I.A. 11437/2021 & 1231/2022

4. Be listed for arguments on 11.12.2024 before this court.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 5, 2024/K