



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS.(CRL) 11/2025 & CRL.M.A. 25967/2025**

COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Ria Setiya, Advocate/ *Amicus Curiae*

Ms. Urvi Mohan, Advocate

versus

RAJ SINGH

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Atul Kumar, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

01.12.2025

%

1. *Mr. Atul Kumar*, Advocate who appears for the Contemnor before the Trial Court, whole-heartedly tried to justify the alleged contumacious conduct, stating that the story narrated in the report submitted which has resulted into taking cognizance under the Contempt of Courts Act, is based on a false event.
2. After appreciation of the documents on record, the fact remains that *Mr. Atul Kumar* equally participated in the proceeding before the Trial Court and as such, being signatory in the capacity of the counsel for the contemnor, becomes a party to the same.
3. This has prompted us to refuse to hear *Mr. Atul Kumar*, Advocate with a suggestion that he should get a lawyer engaged to argue out the matter. Through *Amicus*, so also the Standing Counsel for *GNCTD Mr.*



Sameer Vashisht, we have informed *Mr. Atul Kumar* to engage a lawyer for the respondent-contemnor as during the hearing conduct of the lawyer was such that could have resulted into us forming an opinion that the act of contempt was being aggravated.

4. We have equally requested *Mr. Atul Kumar*, *Mr. Vashisht* (Standing Counsel for *GNCTD*) and the *Amicus*, who is to be guided by *Ms. Urvi Mohan*, Advocate to keep the office bearers of the Delhi High Court Bar Association (*'DHCBA'*) in the loop and they be intimated about the present proceeding, by providing the copy of the present proceedings to the President of the *DHCBA*.

5. List on 3rd December, 2025.

6. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

DECEMBER 1, 2025/pr/st