



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 498/2024**
INDEPENDENT NEWS SERVICE PRIVATE LTD &
ANR.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sanyam Suri, Adv.

versus

RAVINDRA KUMAR CHOUDHARY & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Alice Raj, Adv. for D-1.
Mr. Sauhard Alung, Adv. for
Google LLC.
Mr. Aadhar Nautiyal, Adv.
for D-2.
(M- 9205109664)
Mr. Shyamal Anand & Mr.
Akhil Shandilya, Advs. for
D-4 (through VC)

CORAM:
SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

ORDER
08.10.2024

%

The written statement of the defendant no. 1 is on record. The counsel for the plaintiffs states that it is not as per rules. He may file an appropriate application in that regard or may also file the replication as per law, if he so desires.

The pleadings qua the defendant no. 2 is already complete. The counsel for the defendant no. 2 states that he may be permitted to file a supplementary affidavit of admission/denial qua the documents filed by the plaintiffs in respect of the replication qua him. This supplementary affidavit be filed before the next hearing, with advance copy to the opposing counsel.



The counsel for the defendant no. 3 (*Google LLC*) informs that incorrect particulars have been provided by the plaintiffs in respect of this particular entity being arrayed as the defendant no. 3. The plaintiffs are thus directed to file the amended memo of parties in respect thereof. The defendant no. 3, in the meantime, may however file the compliance-affidavit/written statement as the case may be as per law, whereafter its replication be then filed in accordance with law.

The written statement of the defendant no. 4 shall form part of the record after the clearance of the costs. The plaintiffs may however file its replication, if they so desire.

Once their pleadings are completed, the parties shall then file the photocopies of their admitted-documents, if not already filed and the joint schedule of the documents.

List the matter for admission-denial and marking of exhibits on **10.12.2024**.

IA No. 41014/2024 (By the defendant no. 4 u/o 8 Rule 1 r/w Section 151 CPC seeking condonation of delay in filing its written statement along with the affidavit of admission/denial)

The counsel for the plaintiffs is simply insisting for costs. Keeping in view the duration of the delay @ 82 days beyond the stipulated period, the application is allowed, subject to the costs of Rs. 10,000/-, payable to the opposing counsel within two weeks.

The delay in the written statement of the defendant no. 4 shall be deemed to be condoned, as and when the costs are cleared.

IA No. 37910/2024 (By the defendant no. 1 u/o 8 Rule 1 r/w u/s 5 of the Limitation Act & u/s 151 CPC for condonation of delay)



of 9 days in filing the written statement

The counsel for the plaintiffs states that the reasons put forth in the application are not sustainable. Having heard his submissions and perused the application, I am inclined to allow the same. The delay of 10 days in the written statement of the defendant no. 1 is condoned.

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

OCTOBER 8, 2024/jr

Click here to check corrigendum, if any