



\$~32

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 738/2024**

**NAVEEN KUMAR**

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms Pooja Roy, Advocate.

versus

**STATE OF NCT OF DELHI**

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Ritesh Kumar Bahri, APP for the  
State with Mr Lalit Luthra, Advocate  
with Insp. Satish Kumar, Crime  
Branch.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**30.05.2024**

**CRL.M.A. 17313/2024**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

**CRL.REV.P. 738/2024**

2. The present petition has been filed seeking following relief:-

“a) To allow the present revision petition and may set aside the impugned order on charge dated 03.04.2024 and formal order of charge dated 03.05.2024 passed by Ms. Gurmohina Kaur, Ld. ASJ, South West District, Dwarka Courts, Delhi in case titled as “State vs Sahil Gahlot & Ors.” (SC No. 439 of 2023) (FIR No. 76/2023, PS Baba Haridas Nagar (Investigation transferred to Crime Branch), registered under Section 302/201/202/212/120B/34 of IPC, 1860) whereby Ld. Magistrate opined that prima facie case is made out against revisionist / accused Naveen Kumar under



*Section 302, 201, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and may discharge the revisionist from the charges under Section 302, 201, 120-b of The Indian Penal Code, 1860.”*

3. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice.
4. Let status report be filed before the next date.
5. Re-notify on 03.09.2024.

**MAY 30, 2024**  
**MK**

**VIKAS MAHAJAN, J**