



\$~24

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **W.P.(C) 11475/2023 & CM APPL. 44683/2023**  
**COMMISSIONER OF POLICE AND ORS** .....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Naman Singh, Adv. for Mr.  
Amit Gupta, SPC

versus

**MAHESH KUMAR** .....Respondent  
Through: Mr. Amish Ram Dabas, Adv.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA**

% **ORDER**  
**27.04.2026**

1. An adjournment is sought on the ground that Counsel for the petitioners is unwell.
2. We note that, on the last date also, the petitioner has sought an adjournment and, on the date prior to that also, the petitioner had sought an adjournment on the ground that the Counsel for the petitioner was unwell.
3. In the circumstances, Rule DB.
4. We make it clear that as there is no stay of operation of the order of the Central Administrative Tribunal, the petitioner should implicitly comply with the order within four weeks from today,



subject to the outcome of this writ petition.

**C. HARI SHANKAR, J**

**OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J**

**APRIL 27, 2026/AR**