



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 2712/2021, CRL.M.A. 17326/2021
M/S INDIAN OIL CORPORATION LTD. THROUGH
CONSTITUTED ATTORNEY

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Kunal Kalra and Mr. Shantanu
Pandey, Advocates.

versus

STATE AND ANR. & ANR.

.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sanbharwal, Ld. ASC for
State with Insp. Sanjay Singh P.W.
EOW, Mandir Marg.
Mr. B. Badrinath DHC LSC and Mr.
Dhruv Bhardwaj, Advocates for R-2
with R-2 in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER
10.01.2025

%

1. Learned Counsel on behalf of the Petitioner submits that one complaint under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C got filed in the year 2014, but was dismissed and the Complaint under Section 200 Cr.P.C was withdrawn. However, identical Complaint was again filed under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C, which resulted in registration of FIR No.87/2021.
2. Thereafter, on identical facts another Complaint under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. was filed on which the FIR No.247/2021 had been registered.
3. It is submitted that on the same incident, there are three instances of



an endeavour to get the FIR registered in which the Complainant had succeeded on two occasions resulting in registration of FIR No.87.20212 and FIR No.247/2021. Therefore, quashing of latest FIR No.247/2021 is sought by way of present Petition.

4. Learned Prosecutor and learned counsel for the Complainant, submit that the averments made in the two Complaints are absolutely different. While FIR No.87.2021 pertains to the disputes inter-se the partners, the second FIR No.247/2021 pertains to embezzlement by the Petitioner in connivance with unknown employees of the IOCL. The first FIR is still under investigations.

5. The Status Report has been filed yesterday, but the same has not come on record.

6. The Status Report be brought on record.

7. List for arguments on 03.04.2025.

8. Interim Order, if any, to continue.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JANUARY 10, 2025/va