



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 436/2022 & CrI.M.A. 17909/2022**

MS. X

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Vrinda Grover, Mr. Soutik
Banerjee, Mr. Mannat Tipnis & Ms.
Devika Tulsiani, Advs.

versus

MANISH KUMAR & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Vats, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. Ritesh Kr. Bahri, APP for State
with SI Virender Pal, PS Malviya
Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TALWANT SINGH

ORDER

%

12.10.2022

1. It has been pointed out by learned counsel appearing for the appellant that both the judgments i.e. judgment of the learned Trial Court dated 06.11.2017 and the judgment of the learned Sessions Judge dated 11.04.2022 are available on the website of the District Courts.
2. It is directed that the name of the victim, his relatives and all identifiable features including name of the school, mentioned in the said judgment may be redacted as per the guidelines forming part of Section 33 (7), Explanation of POCSO Act.
3. A copy of this order be sent to learned Principal District and Sessions Judge for compliance.

CRL.A. 436/2022

page 1 of 2



4. Learned APP shall confirm as to whether a decision is taken by the State to challenge the order dated 11.04.2022 passed by learned Principal District and Sessions Judge (South), Saket Courts, Delhi.
5. Both the parties may file brief written synopsis of 2-3 pages each after exchanging the same with each other. The judgments being relied by the parties be also filed after highlighting the relevant paragraphs, flagging them and in the index mentioning the relevant portion of the paragraphs, which are important.
6. List this matter on 23.02.2023.

TALWANT SINGH, J

OCTOBER 12, 2022/nk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)