



\$~1 & 2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 951/2022**

CHITRANGAD RAO

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Raunak Gupta, Adv.
versus

BANK OF INDIA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajat Arora, Mr. Niraj Kumar
and Mr. Sourabh Mahla, Advs.

2 **AND**

+ **W.P.(C) 15365/2004, CM APPL. 11172/2004 & CM APPL.
8308/2016**

BANK OF INDIA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Arora, Mr. Niraj Kumar
and Mr. Sourabh Mahla, Advs.
versus

CHITRANGAD RAO

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Raunak Gupta, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHAIL JAIN

ORDER

%

21.05.2026

1. Ld. Counsel for the Respondent/Bank submits that the affidavit on behalf of the Bank has already been filed, however, the same is lying under objections.
2. Let the objections be removed and the affidavit be taken on record. Delay, if any, in filing the affidavit is condoned.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner/workman seeks



a pass over. However, considering that the affidavit filed on behalf of the Bank is required to be taken on record before disposal of the writ petition, the matter is adjourned.

4. In the meantime, let written submissions along with compilations of judgments, if any, be filed by the parties within a period of four weeks, in case the same have not already been filed, with advance copy to the opposite side.

5. List the matter on 9th September, 2026.

SHAIL JAIN, J

MAY 21, 2026/kp/dg