



\$~21 to 23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

21

+ **W.P.(C) 11411/2023, CM APPL. 44403/2023 & 44402/2023**
TWENTY FOUR SECURE SERVICES PVT. LTDPetitioner
Through: Mr. Prakash Kumar, Advocate

versus

GEETA DEVI & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Biswambar Nayak, Advocate

22

+ **W.P.(C) 11419/2023, CM APPL. 44437/2023 & 44436/2023**
TWENTY FOUR SECURE SERVICES PVT. LTD.Petitioner
Through: Mr. Prakash Kumar, Advocate

versus

SHRI JAGGANATH TIWARI & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Nagmani and Mr. Sumit Singh,
Advocate for R1

23

+ **W.P.(C) 11422/2023, CM APPL. 44443/2023 & 44442/2023**
TWENTY FOUR SECURE SERVICES PVT LTDPetitioner
Through: Mr. Prakash Kumar, Advocate

versus

SH VIJAY KUMAR & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Shaswat Singh Gaur, Advocate
for R1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

09.01.2025

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]



CM APPL. 29635/2024 (delay in filing) in W.P.(C) 11411/2023

CM APPL. 29643/2024 (delay in filing) in W.P.(C) 11419/2023

CM APPL. 29721/2024 (delay in filing) in W.P.(C) 11422/2023

1. Since the explanation of delay and laches as pleaded in these applications is not specific, learned counsel for petitioners seeks adjournment to obtain instructions if the petitioner management would be ready to pay atleast 50% of the outstanding monetary benefit to each of the respondent as a pre condition to condonation of delay.
2. As requested, relist on 17.07.2025.
3. Learned counsel for respondents submit that execution court is not proceeding further on account of pendency of these petitions. Learned counsel for petitioner admits that as on date there is no stay on execution of the impugned awards. That being so, there is no reason for the execution court to delay the proceedings.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

JANUARY 9, 2025/rk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any