



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12279/2021 with CM APPL. 38470/2021**

MS SALASAR FOOD SERVICES LLPPetitioner

Through: Mr. Prakash Srivastava, Ms. Smriti
Dubey & Mr. Rajan Thakur,
Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Yaduinder Lal, Advocate for R-2
(through VC).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

13.03.2026

%

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:

- a) issuance of a writ of mandamus or a writ or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to not debar the Petitioner for participating in future projects of the Respondent IRCTC for a period of Six months, and
- b) issuance of a writ of mandamus or a writ or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to allow Petitioner to complete term of contract which is valid from 22.08.2021 to 22.11.2021 providing on board catering services in train No. 02715-16 NED-ASR special; and
- c) issuance of a writ of certiorari or a writ in nature of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, to and call for the records order dated 25.10.2021 communicated vide letter no. 2021/IRCTC/catg./MCS/02715-16 and after going through the legality and validity of the same, quash and set aside the same; and



2. Counsel appearing on behalf of the parties are *ad idem* that reliefs 'b' and 'c' have now become infructuous. The only surviving relief in the present petition is relief 'a'.
3. This Court *vide* order dated 29th October 2021, had stayed the operation of the order dated 25th October 2021 passed by the respondent no.2/ IRCTC, in terms of which the petitioner was debarred from participating in the future projects of the respondent no.2/ IRCTC for a period of six (6) months from the said date.
4. In these circumstances, counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.2/ IRCTC submits that he shall take instructions as to whether the respondent still intends to debar the petitioner from participating in the future projects for six (6) months from today, considering the fact that the operation of the impugned order has remained stayed since 29th October, 2021.
5. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner shall take instructions as to whether the petitioner has participated in any of the projects of the respondent no.2/ IRCTC after the aforesaid stay order was passed by this Court.
6. List on 25th March, 2026, in first five (5) matters in the category of '*Advance List*'.

AMIT BANSAL, J

MARCH 13, 2026

at