



\$~17to20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LPA 377/2020 & CM APPLS. 32088/2020, 32090/2020**

THE UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Appellants

Through: Mr Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms
Vidhi Jain, Adv. for UOI.

versus

SANOFIINDIA LIMITED & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr Manoj, Ms Aparna Sinha & Mr
M.T. Reddy, Advs.

+ **LPA 378/2020 & CM APPLS. 32126/2020 & 32128/2020**

THE UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Appellants

Through: Mr Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms
Vidhi Jain, Adv. for UOI.

versus

SANOFI INDIA LIMITED & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Arvind Nigam, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Manoj, Mr M.T. Reddy with Ms.
Apama Sinha, Advs.

+ **LPA 379/2020 & CM APPL. 32129/2020 & 32131/2020**

THE UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Appellants

Through: Mr Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms
Vidhi Jain, Adv. for UOI.

versus

SANOFI INDIA LIMITED & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr Manoj, Ms Aparna Sinha & Mr
M.T. Reddy, Advs.

+ **LPA 380/2020 & CM APPLS. 32132/2020 & 32134/2020**

THE UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Appellants

Through: Mr Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms
Vidhi Jain, Adv. for UOI.

LPA 377/2020

page 1 of 2



versus

SANOFI INDIA LIMITED & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr Manoj, Ms Aparna Sinha & Mr
M.T. Reddy, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

17.11.2022

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Counsel for the appellants seeks accommodation on the ground that Mr Kirtiman Singh, CGSC is not available today.
2. The request for accommodation is not opposed by counsel for the respondents.
3. Mr Arvind Nigam, learned senior counsel who appears on behalf of the respondent no. 1 says that there is a substantial delay in filing the appeal and therefore, this is a hurdle that the appellant would have to cross.
- 3.1 This aspect of the matter will be examined on the next date of hearing.
4. List the matter on 21.07.2023.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

NOVEMBER 17, 2022/r

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)

LPA 377/2020

page 2 of 2