



\$~23

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RFA(COMM) 225/2024

SONU JAIN

.....APPELLANT

Through: Mr.Dushyant Sisodiya, Adv.

versus

SHASHANK JAIN & ANR.

.....RESPONDENT

Through: Mr.Vijay Laxmi Goel, Adv for R-1.
Mr.Sushant Mukund, Adv for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

23.10.2024

%

1. Learned counsel for the respondent nos.1 and 2 enter appearance.
2. While learned counsel for the respondent no.1 prays for time to examine the case file and make submissions, learned counsel for the respondent no.2 submits that the said respondent has been wrongly arrayed as a respondent in the appeal. He, therefore, prays that the respondent no.2 be deleted from the array of parties.
3. Learned counsel for the appellant fairly submits that in view of the material produced on record by the respondents, he has no objection to the deletion of respondent no.2 from the array of parties.
4. Accordingly, at the oral request of the learned counsel for the respondent no.2 and in the light of the stand taken by the appellant, the respondent no.2 is deleted from the array of parties.
5. Amended memo of parties be filed within two weeks.



6. Written submissions not running into more than five pages be filed by both sides at least one week before the next date.
7. List on 25.02.2025.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

OCTOBER 23, 2024
sr