



\$~20 to 23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 2655/2021, CRL.M.A. 17100/2021,
CRL.M.A. 5130/2025
ROHIT SRIVASTAVA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Pareek, Advocate.
versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anupam S. Sharrma, SPP CBI.
Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Neeraj Chaudhri, Mr. Aditya
Rathee, Mr. Aadarsh Joshi and Mr.
Karthikeh Dang, Advocates for R-2.

21

+ CRL.REV.P. 280/2024, CRL.M.A. 6255/2024,
CRL.M.A. 10228/2025
MR. ROHIT SRIVASTAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Pareek, Advocate.
versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anupam S. Sharrma, SPP CBI.

22

+ W.P.(CRL) 2694/2022, CRL.M.A. 8085/2024,
CRL.M.A. 10172/2025
ROHIT SRIVASTAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Pareek, Advocate.
versus



CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anupam S. Sharrma, SPP CBI.

23

+ CRL.REV.P. 1119/2024

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anupam S. Sharrma, SPP CBI.

versus

RAKESH TIWARI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

30.07.2025

1. An adjournment is sought on behalf of the Petitioner as the Counsel is busy in Supreme Court.
2. Learned Prosecutor SPP, CBI informs that the evidence of the Prosecution witnesses Neeraj Raja Kochhar and Deepak Sakarwal may be permitted to be continued in the interim.
3. In view of the submissions, the Prosecution is at liberty to continue with the Prosecution evidence.
4. List for arguments on 13.10.2025

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JULY 30, 2025/va