



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 455/2024**

DEEPAK KRISHAN TEWARI & ANR.Plaintiffs

Through: **Mr. Ashutosh Nagar and Ms. Palkriti Pande, Advs.**

versus

MRS RUPA SHARMA & ANR.Defendants

Through: **Ms. Shalini Kaul, Adv.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

30.04.2026

I.A. 12113/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CCP(O) 43/2026 [by plaintiffs under Article 215 of Constitution of India read with Section 2(B), 11 & 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971]

1. The present contempt petition has been filed by the plaintiffs alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 08.12.2025.
2. Mr. Ashutosh Nagar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs/petitioners, at the outset, submits that the present petition has been filed on behalf of both the plaintiffs, however, after signing but before filing of the present petition, plaintiff no.2 has passed away, therefore, he is pressing the present petition only on behalf of plaintiff no.1. The statement is taken on record.



3. He further submits that he shall be moving a formal application for substitution of the legal representatives of plaintiff no.2 in the main suit.
4. He contends that since the present petition has also been filed on behalf of plaintiff no.1, therefore, there is no abatement of the present petition.
5. In view of the above, issue notice.
6. Ms. Shalini Kaul, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the defendants/contemnors accepts notice.
7. Let reply to the petition be filed within a period of three weeks from today.
8. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed before the next date.
9. Re-notify on 18.08.2026 i.e. the date already fixed.

APRIL 30, 2026

aj

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J