



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 531/2022**

RITA KALRA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Jayant Tripathi, Adv. with
Mrs. Nayantara Roy, Adv. (Mob.
No. 9891871186).

versus

HARVINDER SINGH KALRA & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. B.P. Shukla with Ms. Neeru,
Advs. for D-1, 3 & 4 with D-1 in
person.

Mr. Sugam Mishra, Adv. (through
VC) with Mr. Shrey Gupta, Adv.
for D-2 (through VC).

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER
KUMAR GARG (DHJS)**

ORDER

19.03.2024

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**I.A. No. 18518/2023 (by D-2 for condonation of delay in
filing rejoinder to the reply of D-1, 3 & 4 qua IA No.
21488/2022) &**

**I.A. No. 18519/2023 (by D-2 for condonation of delay in
filing rejoinder to the reply of D-1, 3 & 4 qua IA No.
5166/2023).**

1. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff already submitted that he did not wish to file any reply to the captioned IAs and has no objection if the same are allowed.
2. No reply to the captioned IAs has been filed on behalf of defendants no.1, 3 & 4 despite last opportunity. Some



more time has been sought. In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the said defendants for filing reply to the captioned IA within four weeks subject to cost of Rs.2,000/- to be paid to the defendant no.2 and rejoinder thereto be filed within two weeks thereafter. Cost not paid, same be paid on or before next date of hearing.

I.A. No. 21488/2022 (by D-2 u/S 151 CPC for directions)

3. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff already submitted that he did not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA and has no objection if the same is allowed.
4. Reply to the captioned IA was already filed on behalf of defendants no.1, 3 & 4.
5. As per office noting, rejoinder to the reply of defendants no.1, 3 & 4 has been filed on behalf of defendant no.2. However, IA No.18518/2023 for condonation of delay in filing the same is pending for consideration.

I.A. No. 5166/2023 (by D-2 for condonation of delay of 94 days in filing WS)

6. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff already submitted that he did not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA and has no objection if the same is allowed.
7. Reply to the captioned IA was already filed on behalf of defendants no.1, 3 & 4.
8. As per office noting, rejoinder to the reply of defendants no.1, 3 & 4 has been filed on behalf of defendant no.2. However, IA No.18519/2023 for condonation of delay in filing the same is pending for consideration.

I.A. No. 14333/2022 (by plaintiff for stay)

9. Perusal of record shows that separate replies to captioned



IAs were already filed by defendant no.1, defendant no.2 and defendants no.3 & 4.

10.As per office noting, rejoinder to the reply of defendants no.1, 3 & 4 was filed on behalf of the plaintiff vide diary no.1601461/2023.

11.Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he does not wish to file rejoinder to the reply of defendant no.2.

12.Pleadings qua the captioned IA are complete.

I.A. No. 17384/2022 (by D-1 u/O VII Rule 11 CPC) &

I.A. No. 1023/2023 (by D-2 u/O XIII Rule 8 CPC)

13.Pleadings qua the captioned IAs are already complete.

CS(OS) 531/2022

14.Pleadings in the suit qua defendants no.1, 3 & 4 are already complete.

15.Perusal of record shows that written statement, affidavit of admission/denial and documents were already filed on behalf of defendant no.2. However, IA No.5166/2023 for condonation of delay in filing the written statement is pending for consideration on behalf of defendant no.2.

16.Let the pleadings be completed as per law.

17.At joint request, re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **07th August, 2024.**

**DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MARCH 19, 2024/v

Click here to check corrigendum, if any