



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 719/2019**

S S DESWAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Dr. S.S. Hooda with Ms. Rashmi
Rawat and Mr. Aditya Hooda,
Advocates (M:9868280166)

versus

NITIN JINDAL

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, ASC-GNCTD
with Ms. Sheenu Priya, Mr. Atik Gill,
Mr. Sudhir Kumar Shukla, Mr.
Sudhir, Advocates for
GNCTD(M:9911483629)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

%

30.01.2024

1. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that he could not file process fee, due to which formal notice could not be issued to the respondent. However, he submits that he had informed the learned Additional Standing Counsel (“ASC”) for Government of NCT of Delhi (“GNCTD”).
2. Accordingly, Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, learned ASC appears on behalf of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Kapashera, New Delhi-110037.
3. On the last date of hearing, this Court had impleaded Delhi Development Authority (“DDA”) and had duly issued notice. However, Amended Memo of Parties has not been filed by the petitioner. Further, Court notice has not been issued to the DDA, as the process fee was not filed by the petitioner.



4. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to file the Amended Memo of Parties within a period of two weeks.

5. Let notice be issued, without process fee to the DDA, through the Standing Counsel, Ms. Shobhana Takiar, returnable on 14th May, 2024.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

JANUARY 30, 2024

au