



\$~85

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4546/2024 & CRL.M.A. 17119/2024**

SHAMA SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kiran Kumar Patra,  
Mr. A.K. Singh, Mr.  
Preetish Sahu and Ms.  
Mansi Singh, Advs.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF  
INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ravi Sharrma, SPP,  
CBI with Mr. Swapnil  
Choudhary, Mr. Ishann  
Bhardwaj, Mr. Sagar and  
Ms. Madhulika Rai  
Sharma, Advs.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**17.12.2024**

1. By the present petition, petitioner challenges the order dated 12.02.2024, passed by the learned Trial Court, pursuant to which charges were framed against the petitioner under Section 109 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) read with Section 13(2) r/w Section 13(1 )(b) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (**PC Act**).
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the wife of the main accused, namely, Samir Kumar Singh.
3. He submits that the allegation of abetment has been made only for the reason that some properties were held by the petitioner, which as per the allegations were acquired from the



money generated by the main accused.

4. He submits that the ownership of the property was in the name of the petitioner and the charge could not have been framed only on the basis of presumptions.

5. He further submits that no charge under Section 109 of the IPC could have been framed after the amendment in the PC Act dated 26.07.2018.

6. Issue notice.

7. The learned counsel for the CBI accepts notice and seeks time to address arguments.

8. List on 25.03.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**DECEMBER 17, 2024**

*'KD'*