



\$~3 to 12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

(3)

+ C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 177/2022

ARB BEARINGS LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

DELUXE PETROCHEM INDIA AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Mr. Rakesh Tinkri
and Mr. Shiv Kumar Yadav, Advocates
for R-1.

Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Om
Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates
for R-2.

(4)

+ C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 178/2022

ARB BEARINGS LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

SUNITA PURI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Mr. Rakesh Tinkri
and Mr. Shiv Kumar Yadav, Advocates
for R-1.

Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Om
Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates
for R-2.

(5)

+ C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 688/2022

ARB BEARINGS LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

SUNITA PURI M/S DELUXE PETROCHEM (INDIA) AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Mr. Rakesh Tinkri
and Mr. Shiv Kumar Yadav, Advocates
for R-1.



Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Om Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates for R-2.

(6)

+

C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 693/2022

ARB BEARINGS LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

SUNITA PURI M/S DELUXE PETROCHEM (INDIA) AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Mr. Rakesh Tinkri and Mr. Shiv Kumar Yadav, Advocates for R-1.

Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Om Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates for R-2.

(7)

+

C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 696/2022

ARB BEARINGS LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

SUNITA PURI DELUXE PETROCHEM (INDIA) AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Mr. Rakesh Tinkri and Mr. Shiv Kumar Yadav, Advocates for R-1.

Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Om Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates for R-2.

(8)

+

C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 701/2022

ARB BEARINGS LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

SUNITA PURI DELUXE PETROCHEM (INDIA) AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Mr. Rakesh Tinkri



and Mr. Shiv Kumar Yadav, Advocates
for R-1.

Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Om
Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates
for R-2.

(9)

+ C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 864/2022

M/S ARB BEARINGS LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

SUNITA PURI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Mr. Rakesh Tinkri
and Mr. Shiv Kumar Yadav, Advocates
for R-1.

Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Om
Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates
for R-2.

(10)

+ C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 865/2022

M/S ARB BEARINGS LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

SUNITA PURI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Mr. Rakesh Tinkri
and Mr. Shiv Kumar Yadav, Advocates
for R-1.

Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Om
Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates
for R-2.

(11)

+ C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 866/2022, I.A. 16423/2023 & I.A. 47374/2024

M/S ARB BEARING LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

SUNITA PURI AND ANRRespondents



Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Mr. Rakesh Tinkri
and Mr. Shiv Kumar Yadav, Advocates
for R-1.
Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Om
Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates
for R-2.

(12)

+ CS(COMM) 987/2025

ARB BEARINGS LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate.
versus

SUNITA PURI TRADING AS DELUXE PETROCHEM (INDIA)
AND ANR

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Mr. Rakesh Tinkri
and Mr. Shiv Kumar Yadav, Advocates
for R-1.
Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Om
Ram and Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates
for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

%

21.01.2026

1. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2/The Registrar for Trade Marks submits that the rectification petition in respect of trade mark registration application number 1861604 in Class 4 has already been filed in this Court on 22.07.2025. Learned counsel for the parties i.e. the petitioner as also respondent no.1 submit that this trade mark registration application bearing number 1861604 is the one, which is subject matter of the Rectification / Cancellation petition number 268630.

2. The Registry is directed to comply with the directions contained in para 3 of the order dated 23.09.2025 for re-numbering the record received under the aforesaid registration petition number 268630 and be numbered as a fresh petition.



3. Learned counsel for the parties also request and are granted six weeks' time to place on record the consolidated written submissions not exceeding five pages with cross reference to the page numbers that the counsel seek to rely upon. The judgment, if any, may be filed separately under a cover of index indicating the relevant paragraphs on which the parties rely upon. The same may be done after exchanging the written submissions amongst themselves.

4. Ms. Varuna Thakur, learned Local Commissioner who was appointed by the learned District Judge for the purpose of recording evidence submits that the dues in respect of the services rendered by her has not been fully paid. Learned counsel for the plaintiff as also the respondent jointly submit that they would take instructions in respect thereto and be ready not only with the instructions but also the details of the charges levied and defrayed by each of them. The said details shall be provided to Ms. Thakur within ten days from date. Ms. Thakur is also requested to confirm the payments and indicate, if any payments are further required to be made on account of the services rendered.

5. List all the petitions alongwith the newly re-numbered petition on 02.05.2026, for consideration.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

JANUARY 21, 2026

Sumit