



\$~101

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 295/2020

M/S. A TO Z FACILITATORS PVT. LTD.Appellant

Through: Mr. Siddharth Mittal, Advocate.

versus

URMILA BHATIARespondent

Through: Respondent in-person *via* video-conferencing.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

% **19.01.2026**

CM APPL. 3510/2026

By way of the present application filed under section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908, the applicant/appellant seeks modification of order dated 19.09.2025 passed by this court to the *limited extent* that the interim order granted to the appellant *vidé* order dated 07.12.2020 be continued. It is observed that since on 19.09.2025 the undersigned was pre-occupied on a Full Bench, the matter was simply re-notified under signatures of Court Master.

2. Mr. Siddharth Mittal, learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits, that *vidé* order dated 07.12.2020, the appellant was granted a stay on the operation of impugned judgement and decree dated 30.06.2020, subject to depositing 50% of the decretal amount.
3. Learned counsel submits, that the decretal amount was duly deposited by the petitioner; and in fact, *vidé* order dated 04.10.2023, the respondent was also granted permission to withdraw the decretal amount deposited in court, subject to furnishing security to the satisfaction of the learned Registrar General of this court.



4. Learned counsel submits however, that *vidé* order dated 11.07.2024 the learned Predecessor Bench placed the matter for final disposal, while recording that the respondent had not been able to furnish appropriate security; and that consequently, the deposited decretal amount could not be released to the respondent. But, it would appear by inadvertence, in order dated 11.07.2024 and in subsequent orders, interim order dated 07.12.2020 granted by the court earlier, was not continued.
5. Furthermore, it pointed-out that on certain subsequent dates, the matter could not be taken-up due to preoccupation of the Bench.
6. The submissions made on behalf of the petitioner are borne-out by the record.
7. In view thereof, it is not considered necessary to issue formal notice on this application.
8. The application is allowed.
9. It is noticed that the interim order granted on 07.12.2020 was continued thereafter on certain dates, but it would appear by inadvertence, the interim order was not continued *vide* order dated 08.07.2025.
10. In view of the above, order dated 08.07.2025 is modified to read that the interim order passed on 07.12.2020, shall continue, till the next date of hearing.
11. The application is disposed-of in the above terms.
12. The Registry is directed to provide a certified copy of this order alongwith any certified copy of order dated 08.07.2025.



RFA 295/2020

13. Re-notify before the Roster Bench on 02nd February 2026, the date already fixed.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 19, 2026/V.Rawat