



\$~22

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 520/2021

NAVNEEN KUMAR

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Akarshan Bhardwaj Adv.
(joined through VC)

versus

LAKHHPAT RAM AND ORS

.....Defendants

Through: None for LR's of D-1
Mr. Avinash Chaurasia & Mr.
Deepak Kr. Gogia, Advs. for
D-36 to 39

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

% **04.07.2024**

CS(OS) 520/2021

1. The order dated 09.05.2024 reflects that court notice has been served upon defendants no.1(a) to 1(c), 1(d)(i), 1(d)(ii), 1(d)(iii), 1(e), 2(a) to 2(c), 7(a), 7(c), 7(d), 8(a) to 8(e), 12, 13, 15, 16(b) to 16(d), 18 to 27, 29, 30, 31(a) to 31(f), 32(a), 32(b), 33(a) to 33(g), 35(b) & defendant no.28 is un-served through counsel earlier but has been served later as per service report dated 03.05.2024. However, none is present on behalf of said defendants today.

2. As per office note, defendant no.5, 10, 11, 16(a), 17, 34 & 35(a) have already expired. It is stated by learned counsel for the defendant no.36 to 39 that as per instructions received, an application seeking abatement qua aforesaid defendants has already filed. He seeks some time to inspect the file and apprise the court regarding status of said application on the next date of hearing.

3. As per office note further, court notice issued to the defendant no.3, 4 & 6 remained un-served with report 'refused'; defendant no.7(b) remained un-served with



report the defendnat no.7 is not residing at the said address; the defendnat no.9 is un-served with remark 'shifted' & defendant no.14 is un-served with remark that he does not reside at the said address.

Re-notify the matter for PE on 26.09.2024.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JULY 4, 2024/ab

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)