



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 516/2022**

MANOJ MAVI AND ORSPlaintiffs

Through: Ms. Preeti Singh, Adv.
(through VC)

versus

RAJESWATI AND ORSDefendants

Through: None

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SHUCHI
LALER (DHJS)**

ORDER

20.08.2024

%

Case file taken up today on below mentioned IA:-

**IA No. 36756/2024 u/O V R.20 CPC for substituted
service of defendant nos.5 & 6**

1. Record perused. Summons sent to defendant nos. 5 & 6 through ordinary process were received with the report that they have left the address on record and are residing at some place in Faridabad.
2. Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that the plaintiff has no other address of defendant nos.5 & 6. In the opinion of the court, defendant nos.5&6 cannot be served through ordinary process.
3. Accordingly, the application is allowed. Let service be affected on defendant nos.5&6 by way of publication in the national daily newspaper "Times of India" and "Navbharat Times" Delhi Edition as well as Faridabad Edition on filing of process fee and on deposit of publication charges, returnable for next date.



4. The application stands disposed off.
5. List the matter on date already fixed i.e. 11.11.2024.

**SHUCHI LALER (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
AUGUST 20, 2024/cd**

Click here to check corrigendum, if any