



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 449/2024 with I.A. 45149/2024**

**NETWORK18 MEDIA AND INVESTMENTS LIMITED
& ORS.**

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopal with
Mr.Kushal Gupta, Ms. Deepshika
Sarkar and Mr. D. Upadhyaya,
Advocates

versus

WWW.BRAWLERSFIGHTCLUB.COM & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Tejas Karia, Mr. Varun Pathak,
Mr. Yash Karunakaran and Ms.
Prasidhi Agrawal, Advocates for
D-11
Mr. Deepak Gogia and Mr. Aadhar
Nautiyal, Advocates for D-12
Mr. Mrinal Ojha, Mr. Debarshi Dutta
and Mr. Arjun Mookerjee, Advocates
for D-16

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

ORDER

% **18.11.2024**

**I.A. 45149/2024 (u/O-XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 of Code of Civil Procedure,
1908)**

1. This application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiffs seeking certain directions *qua* the defendant no.11/Meta Platforms Inc. and the defendant no.12/X Corp.
2. In the present case, an *ex parte ad interim* injunction was granted in



favour of the plaintiffs and against the defendants *vide* order dated 28th May, 2024.

3. This application has been filed seeking the following reliefs:

*“(a) Direct Defendant No. 12 to take down/block the Infringing Posts identified at Para 9 above; and
(b) Direct that, in case the Plaintiffs discover any other social media posts containing link/providing access to mirror websites, which host content similar to the Impugned Article, the Ld. Registrar (upon filing of an affidavit by the Plaintiffs) can direct the Defendant No. 11 and Defendant No. 12 to take down such posts.”*

4. In paragraph 10 of the application, the plaintiffs have provided the links to the social media posts hosted on platform “X” of the defendant no.12 that direct the users to the mirror websites containing the impugned article.

5. Issue notice.

6. Mr. Tejas Karia, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the defendant no.11 and Mr. Deepak Gogia, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the defendant no.12.

7. Based on the averments made in the application and submissions made on behalf of the plaintiffs, a *prima facie* case is made out in favour of the plaintiffs. Balance of convenience is in favour of the plaintiffs and against the defendants. Irreparable injury would be caused to the plaintiffs if the infringing posts providing links to the mirror websites continue to infringe the intellectual property rights of the plaintiffs.

8. Accordingly, an *ad interim* order is passed directing the defendant no.12 to take down the infringing posts identified in paragraph 10 of the application.



9. Further, in line with the directions passed in the previous order dated 25th October, 2024, it is directed that in case the plaintiffs discover any other social media posts containing link(s)/providing access to mirror website(s) which hosts identical content or content which is almost identical to that of the impugned article, the defendants no.11 and 12 shall take down such posts upon being notified by the plaintiffs.

10. List on 15th January, 2025, the date already fixed.

AMIT BANSAL, J

NOVEMBER 18, 2024
ds