



\$~5

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 404/2010

MADHU SHARMA (SINCE DECEASED) THR. L.R.S. AND
OTHERS

..... Plaintiffs

Through Mr. Pravir Kr. Jain, Adv.

versus

SURESH KAUSHIK AND OTHERS

..... Defendants

Through Mr. Rohit Kumar Modi, Adv.

CORAM:

**SANJEEV AGGARWAL (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

%

22.08.2017

IA No.4288/2017 (u/S 5 of Limitation Act by defendant)

No reply has been filed. It is stated by learned counsel for plaintiff that he does not wish to file any reply to the present IA. Perusal of record reveals, there is delay of 11 days in filing reply to IA u/O VI R 17 CPC.

Considering the facts and circumstances, the captioned IA stands allowed, subject to payment of costs of Rs.3000/- by defendants to the plaintiff, the delay, if any, stands condoned. IA stands disposed of.

IA No.14911/2016 (u/O VI R 17 CPC)

Reply to the captioned IA has been filed.

It is stated by learned counsel for plaintiff that rejoinder to the same has already been filed. But the same has not come on record. He undertakes to check up with the registry and get the same placed on record. However copy of said rejoinder has already been supplied to

Contd.....2



-2-

opposite side.

Re-notify for consideration on this IA on next date of hearing.

CS (OS) 404/2010

Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on 18.09.2017.

**SANJEEV AGGARWAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

AUGUST 22, 2017/ab