



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 788/2018**
SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONPlaintiff
Through: Ms. Beenashaw N. Soni, Standing
Counsel, MCD with Ms. Ann Joseph,
Advocate.

versus

PRABHATAM ADVERTISING PVT. LTD.Defendant
Through:

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT DALAL, (DHJS)

ORDER

% **19.03.2026**

1. The matter is at the stage of Plaintiff's Evidence.
2. Vide order dated 15.12.2025, i.e., the last date of hearing, an adjournment was sought on behalf of the plaintiff on the ground that an application was required to be moved for substitution of the Authorised Representative (AR) of the plaintiff.
3. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that the said application along with the evidence affidavit has been filed yesterday. However, the office note does not reflect the same.
4. Accordingly, learned counsel for the plaintiff is directed to coordinate with the Registry and ensure that the same is placed on record.
5. List for Plaintiff's Evidence on 17.08.2026.

SUMIT DALAL, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 19, 2026/da