



\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2196/2019**

SONY INDIA PVT. LTD. & ANR

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr.Vikas Pahwa, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Rahul Malhotra, Mr.Rishu Kant
Sharma, Mr.Manmeet Singh Nagpal,
Mr.Sumer Singh and Ms.Vidhushi
Nishank, Advocates

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr.R.S.Kundu, ASC for the State
with Mr.Hitesh Vali and Ms.Pooja
Arjariya, Advocates
Mr.Anuj Chaturvedi, Advocate for
the complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

07.08.2019

Crl.M.A.No.33070/2019

Allowed subject to just exceptions.

W.P.(CRL) No.2196/2019 & Crl.M.A.No. 33071/2019

1. Notice.
2. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State accepts notice.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the complainant's distributorship was cancelled by the petitioners and since recoveries were

W.P.(CRL) 2196/2019

page 1 of 2



required to be made from the complainant/respondent No.2, the petitioner No.1 filed a civil suit for recovery which was dismissed in default and application seeking restoration thereof has since been filed and dismissed. The appeal against the dismissal of the application for restoration is pending before this Court. He further states that in the entire FIR, all the heads of the petitioner No.1-company have been named, however there is no allegation against them expect stating that dealers of the petitioner are working illegally without paying taxes and selling the goods in cash.

4. Notice.
5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State accepts notice.
6. Status report be filed.
7. Notice is accepted by learned counsel for respondent No.2 who seeks time to file the reply affidavit.
8. Reply affidavit be filed in six weeks.
9. Rejoinder affidavit be filed four weeks thereafter.
10. Renotify on 15th October, 2019.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

AUGUST 07, 2019

mamta

W.P.(CRL) 2196/2019

page 2 of 2