



\$~30

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ TEST.CAS. 58/2019

VARUN KHULLAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Advocate (appearance not given).

versus

STATE AND ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rohit Nagpal & Mr. Ankur Singh, Advs.

Mr. Raghav Anand, Adv. for R-3 & 4

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)

ORDER

19.01.2026

%

Regular stenographer is on leave today.

I.A.No.9477/2025 (under Order XVI Rule 1 & 1A r/w Section 151 CPC filed on behalf of respondent no.3 seeking production of an alternate handwriting expert as a witness)

1. As per office note, reply to the captioned IA has not been filed by the petitioner.
2. Let reply to the captioned IA be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the opposite side.
3. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

TEST.CAS. 58/2019

4. The matter is listed for recording of evidence through video conference.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has not received any information from the concerned



authority from USA for recording of evidence through video conference. He seeks some more time to take appropriate steps.

6. In view of the above circumstances, matter stands adjourned.
7. Ld. Counsel for the parties submit that date be given in the month of April, 2026.
8. Re-notify the matter for recording of evidence of respondent no.3 through video conference on **07th April, 2026.**

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
JANUARY 19, 2026/jk