



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **TEST.CAS. 58/2019**

VARUN KHULLAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rohit Nagpal, Adv.

versus

STATE AND ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghav Anand & Mr.
Shubham Kathuria, Advs. for R-
3 & 4

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

06.08.2025

%

**I.A.No.9477/2025 (under Order XVI Rule 1 & 1A r/w
Section 151 CPC filed on behalf of respondent no.3
seeking production of an alternate handwriting expert
as a witness)**

1. As per office note, reply to the captioned IA has not been filed.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks some more time to file reply.
3. Let reply to the captioned IA be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the opposite side.
4. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

TEST.CAS. 58/2019

5. The matter is listed for recording of evidence through video conference.
6. Learned counsels for the parties submit that they have



been informed by the Joint Registrar (Information Technology) that the video conference room is not available today for recording of evidence due to some administrative reasons. They request for fixing date in the month of October, 2025 for recording of evidence of witness through video conference.

7. The Registrar (Information Technology) of this Court is requested to make necessary arrangements for the purpose of recording of evidence of counter-claimant through video conferencing for 28/10/2025 at 3:00pm.
8. Re-notify the matter for recording of evidence of respondent no.3 through video conference on **28th October, 2025 at 3:00pm.**

**MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

AUGUST 6, 2025/nk