



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 59/2018**
MRS. REENA GUGLANI Plaintiff

Through **Ms. Sonam Anand, Advocate**

versus

M/S BHARTI AIRTEL LTD. & ORS Defendants
Through **Mr. Moinuddin Khan, Advocate for**
Defendant nos. 1,2 and 4
Ms. Priya Sharma, Advocate for
Defendant no.3

CORAM:
SH. RAKESH KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

% **ORDER**
07.09.2018

IA No. 7553/2018 (application filed by defendant nos. 1 and 5 u/O VIII Rule 1 r/w Section 151 CPC for condonation of delay of 44 days in filing written statement)

AND

IA No. 7554/2018 (application filed by defendant no.4 u/O VIII Rule 1 r/w Section 151 CPC for condonation of delay of 44 days in filing written statement)

Ld. counsel for plaintiff submits that she has no objection if above captioned both applications are allowed subject to cost.

Heard.

In the interest of justice, the above captioned both applications are allowed, subject to cost of Rs. 2,000/- (on all three defendants) each to be



paid to plaintiff on the next date of hearing. Written statement of defendant nos. 1,4 and 5 are taken on record.

Both IAs stand disposed off accordingly.

CS(OS) 59/2018

In compliance of the previous order, cost has been paid by ld. counsels for defendant nos. 2 and 3 to ld. counsel for plaintiff today in the court.

Replication to written statement of defendant nos. 1 to 5 alongwith affidavit of admission/denial of documents be filed within three weeks from today with advance copy to defendants.

Put up for completion of pleadings and marking of exhibits on the documents on **1st October, 2018**.

It has been brought to my notice that inadvertently while disposed of application of defendant nos. 2 and 3 for condonation of delay, inadvertently, it does not reflect to consider and allow both the applications of defendants subject to cost of Rs. 2,000/- each, whereas it has been inadvertently written / typed as application is allowed subject to cost Rs. 2,000/- to be paid to plaintiff. From the records it reveals that it is typographical mistake and correct accordingly. In the last two lines of the application of defendant nos. 2 and 3 to be read as application of defendant nos. 2 and 3 are allowed subject to cost of Rs. 2,000/- each. This order shall also the foam part of the order dated 31.07.2018.

**RAKESH KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

SEPTEMBER 07, 2018/savita