



\$~8

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+CS(OS) 59/2018

MRS. REENA GUGLANI

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Deepshikha
Sansanwal, Adv.

versus

M/S BHARTI AIRTEL LTD. & ORS

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. Nikunj Verma, Adv.
for D-1, 2 4 and 5
Ms. Taniya Raheja, Adv.
for D-3

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER NAIN
(DHJS)**

ORDER

%

05.01.2023

IA No. 76/2023

It is submitted by counsel for defendant nos. 1, 2, 4 and 5 that the other defendants have been served qua the above mentioned application. It is submitted by counsel for defendant no.3 that she has no objection, if the above mentioned application is allowed. It is also submitted by learned counsel for plaintiff that she has no objection, if the above mentioned application is allowed. In view of the submissions and grounds mentioned in the application, the above mentioned application is allowed. The Authorised representative of defendant no.2 is hereby substituted. The application stands disposed of.

List for DE in terms of order dated 15.12.2022 for date already fixed i.e. 18.04.2023.

**DEVENDER NAIN (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 5, 2023/cd