



\$~17

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
+ CS(OS) 59/2018
MRS. REENA GUGLANI

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms.Sonam, Anand, Adv.

versus

M/S BHARTI AIRTEL LTD. & ORS

..... Defendants

Through: Mr.Nikunj Verma, Adv. for D-1, 2, 4
& 5

Ms.Taniya Raheja, Adv. for D-3

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. PURSHOTAM PATHAK
(DHJS)

ORDER
% **01.02.2022**

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing, in terms of directions vide Circular No.1/RG/DHC/2022 dated 12.01.2022

I.A. No.16690/2021 filed by plaintiff under Section 151 CPC for modification of order dated 02.12.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Court and for directions

I.A. No.16715/2021 filed by plaintiff under Order XVI Rule 1(2) CPC for summoning the formal witnesses

Reply to applications not filed. Learned counsels for the defendants seeks some more time to file the reply. Let the same be



filed within two weeks with advance copy to opposite party.

Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that matter may be listed for arguments as she does not wish to file any rejoinder.

Put up for reply/arguments on 02.03.2022.

FEBRUARY 1, 2022/tp

PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS), J

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)