



via Video-conferencing

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 392/2019 & I.A. 10565/2019, I.A. 3138/2020 ,I.A.
5530/2020 , I.A. 11129/2020, I.A. 3166/2022

JYOTI GUPTA Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rohit Rattu, Advocate.

versus

VEENETA & ANR. Defendants

Through: Mr. Praveen Suri with Ms. Komal
Chhibber, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

13.05.2022

%

I.A. 14019/2021

Defendant No. 1/Ms. Veeneta Gupta, is stated to have passed away on 31.05.2021. By way of the present application, the Legal Representatives of defendant No. 1 are sought to be brought on record.

Mr. Rohit Rattu, learned counsel for the plaintiff contends that only the mother of deceased defendant No. 1 can be brought on record, being a Class-1 legal heir under the Hindu Succession Act, 1956; and her two brothers cannot to be brought on record since they are Class-2 legal heirs. Mr. Rattu, seeks time to cite judicial precedents to substantiate his submission.

For the above purpose, re-notify on 23rd September 2022.



I.A. 14020/2021

By this application Shri Sanjeev Kumar Singhal, who is stated to be the brother of deceased defendant No. 1, seeks impleadment in the matter.

Since the issue in this application is connected with that in I.A. No. 14019/2021 above, re-notify on 23rd September 2022.

CS(OS) 392/2019

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

List on 23rd September 2022.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MAY 13, 2022

ds