



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 392/2019**

JYOTI GUPTA

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Meghna Nimbekar, proxy
counsel. (through VC)

versus

VEENETA & ANR.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr.Praveen Suri, Adv. for D1.
(through VC)
None for defendant No.2.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SURYA MALIK GROVER
(DHJS)**

ORDER

%

21.10.2020

**Today is physical hearing of this Court vide office Order
No.1056/ORG./DHC/2020 dated 09.10.2020.**

IA No.5530/2020 (under Order 39 Rule 4 CPC filed by defendant No.1)

E-reply filed by plaintiff vide diary No.938768 on 13.10.2020. E-rejoinder to the same is already on record. Accordingly, pleadings stand completed in aforesaid IA.

CS(OS) 392/2019

Perusal of record reveals that inadvertently in order dated 09.09.2020, it has been mentioned that right of 'defendants Nos.2 to 5' to file written statement stands already closed. The same appears to be a typographical error, as there are only two defendants in the present case. Accordingly, in order dated 09.09.2020 'defendant Nos.2 to 5 shall be read as "defendant



No.2”.

E-document schedule filed vide diary No.945632 dated 14.10.2020 by defendant No.1. Learned counsel for defendant No.1 submits that marking/exhibition of documents can be done by the court on the basis of the affidavit of joint schedule as filed by the parties and physical presence of the counsels is not required. He seeks a short adjournment to submit relevant rules/case law in this regard in support of his submissions.

List the matter for admission/denial and for marking of exhibits on 05.11.2020.

SURYA MALIK GROVER (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

OCTOBER 21, 2020

neelam