



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1941/2022

VIJAY PRAKSH GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. L.K. Verma, Mr. Vikas Goyal
and Ms. Bhawna Saini, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for State
with Mr. Alok Sharma and Mr. Vasu
Agarwal, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH

ORDER

%

12.02.2025

1. Despite service of Court notice, none appeared on behalf of the respondent no. 2.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondent no. 2 has already received a sum of Rs. 17,00,000/- as per the terms of the Compromise Deed. However, the respondent no.2 is not cooperating in appearing before this Court *via* distant proceedings.
3. In view of the aforesaid submission, learned ASC for the State has not contradicted the said fact regarding the respondent no.2's non-cooperation.
4. Taking into consideration the fact that the respondent no. 2 is not cooperating in appearing before this Court *via* distant proceedings, the proceedings in FIR no. 235/2022 before the Court concerned are kept in abeyance.
5. It is made clear that if the respondent no. 2 fails to appear even on the



next date of hearing, the instant matter will proceed *ex parte*.

6. List on 16th September, 2025.

CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 12, 2025

ab/mk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any