



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 327/2025, I.A. 15699/2025**

JAI PRAKASH RATHI

.....Plaintiff

Through: **Ms. Vatsala Chauhan, Adv. (Through VC)**

versus

SHIV KUMAR PRAJAPATI & ANR.

.....Defendant

Through: **Mr. Kanhaiya Singhal, Ms. Avantika Shankar,
Ms. Shatakshi Singh, Ms. Prasanna, Advs. for
D-1.**

**Mrs. Gurkamal Hora Arora, Mr. Nalin Dhingra,
Advs. for D-2.**

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. KISHOR KUMAR (DHJS)

ORDER

% **28.04.2026**

Matter is taken up through physical hearing as well as video conferencing.

As per office noting dated 30.01.2026, Replication filed on behalf of the plaintiff had been returned under objection. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff states that yesterday she has removed objection on the Replication. However, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.2 states that she has not been supplied with copy of Replication to the Written Statement.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff is directed to supply copy of Replication to the Written Statement of defendant no.2 today itself.

I.A. 15699/2025 (u/O I Rule 10 r/w Sec. 151 CPC filed by defendant no.1)

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff wishes not to file any reply to the present application submitting that she will argue on the application straightaway.

As per office noting dated 24.11.2025, Replication filed to the Written Statement of defendant no.1 is also lying under objections. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff is directed to remove objection.



Be fixed for completion of pleadings on 12.08.2026.

KISHOR KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

APRIL 28, 2026/SM