



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 499/2025**

BRAWN BIOTECH LTD. & ANR.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sachin Gupta, Ms.
Prashansa Singh & Ms.
Mahima Chanchalani, Advs.

versus

BRAWN HEALTHCARE INDIA P. LTD. & ANR.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. H.K. Naik, Adv. for D-1
& 2. (M- 9810671792)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL), SUDHIR KUMAR
SIROHI (DHJS)**

ORDER

% **12.01.2026**

Written statement has been filed by defendant no. 1 & 2.
Same be taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has filed the replication on 10.01.2026, vide dairy no. 21006/2026 but the same is not showing in the official noting, he is directed to contact the registry and if there is no other defect in the replication, then the same be taken on record as has been filed within 30 days of payment of costs i.e. 22.12.2025.

Ld. counsel for the defendant submits that the defendant did not file any document with the written statement and ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that therefore no affidavit of admission/denial with the replication has been filed by the plaintiff.

The plaintiff is also directed to file the photocopies of their admitted-documents, if not already filed and the joint schedule of



the documents.

The plaintiff is also directed to inspect and flag the documents before the date of admission/denial.

List the matter for admission-denial and marking of exhibits on **28.04.2026**.

IA No. 12952/2025 (u/o XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 r/w Section 151 CPC)

Reply has been filed by the defendant. Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that he does not wish to file any rejoinder separately and his replication may be treated as rejoinder to the reply of the defendant. **The plaintiff is directed to take steps to bring his replication on record and registry is also requested to do the needful.**

**SUDHIR KUMAR SIROHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 12, 2026/jr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)