



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 496/2021**

DR. (MRS.) MEENAKSHI DUBEYPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Pushkar Sood, Mr. Anmol
Vashisht & Mr. Samarth Sood,
Advs. along with PW1/Ms.
Meenakshi Dubey in person.

Versus

MR. PANKAJ AGGARWALDefendant

Through: Mr. Rajesh Gupta & Mr.
Harpreet Singh, Advs.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PREETI
PAREWA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

% **22.01.2026**

CS(OS) 496/2021

1. Matter is at the stage of Plaintiff's Evidence.
2. PW1/Ms. Meenakshi Dubey is present in the Court today. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that the witness is carrying the originals of the Family Settlement dated 21.07.2019 and the Affidavit of Mrs. Indira Aggarwal dated 25.01.1984.
3. Learned counsel for the plaintiff has drawn the attention of the Court towards order dated 18.12.2023 passed by the Hon'ble Court, whereby it was clarified that the plaintiff, for the present, need not file the original documents in the Court.
4. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the defendant submit that at the time of passing of order dated 18.12.2023, another suit was pending adjudication before the learned ADJ, Patiala House Courts and considering the pendency of the said suit and requirement of the said originals in the pending suit before the learned ADJ, Patiala House Courts, the order dated 18.12.2023 was passed by the Hon'ble



Court. Further, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the defendant that the evidence in the suit pending before the learned ADJ, Patiala House Courts is now concluded and the said original documents are not required to be produced before the said Court by the plaintiff. It is insisted by the learned counsel for the defendant that the original documents produced today should be filed on record by the plaintiff. It is further submitted that an application has been filed by the defendant herein in the pending suit before the learned ADJ, Patiala House Courts for the impounding of the original Family Settlement dated 21.07.2019. He has further submitted that the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court for further directions.

5. In counter, learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that the learned counsel for the defendant is adopting delaying tactics despite the categorical direction of the Hon'ble Court issued vide order dated 18.12.2023 and re-visiting the same issue so that the matter is delayed. It is further submitted that as the documents are very old, the plaintiff is not inclined to place them on Court record for the security of the old documents.

6. In view of the proceedings and at request of learned counsel for defendant, matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on 25.02.2026.

PREETI PAREWA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
JANUARY 22, 2026/bs