



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 396/2019**

KAPIL BUILDERSPlaintiff

Through: Ms. Shruti Kapur, Adv.

versus

AERO PROMOTORS P(P) LTD. & ORS.Defendants

Through: None.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER

04.08.2025

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**I.A.No.18616/2025 (by plaintiff u/O V Rule 20 CPC for
substituted service to defendant nos. 2 & 3)**

1. The matter has been taken up today on filing of the captioned IA.
2. It is submitted that the matter is coming up for hearing before the undersigned on 17.11.2025.
3. Heard. Record perused. Initially the defendant nos. 2 & 3 had been served and written statement on their behalf has also been filed to which replication was filed by the plaintiff. Thereafter defendant nos. 2 & 3 had stopped to appear. The court notice issued to them remained unserved. Vide order dated 22.01.2025, the Hon'ble Court granted liberty to the plaintiff to file fresh address of defendant nos. 2 & 3. As per submissions, the fresh address of defendant nos. 2& 3 is not traceable.
4. It appears that court notice could not be served upon the defendant nos.2 and 3 through ordinary mode.



5. For the reasons stated in the application and in view of above submissions, let defendants no.2 and 3 be served with court notice through substituted service i.e. by way of publication in newspaper 'The Statesman' (English edition) and 'Navbharat Times' (Hindi edition) having circulation in New Delhi on filing of PF and subject to deposit of necessary publication charges by petitioner, as per rules, returnable on date already fixed i.e. 17.11.2025.
6. The captioned IA stands disposed off accordingly.

GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

AUGUST 4, 2025/PU

Click here to check corrigendum, if any