



\$~78 to 81

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 230/2011 and CM APPL. 40033/2017, CM APPL. 8953/2020, CM APPL. 21965/2020, CM APPL. 24394/2024, CM APPL. 36225/2024

ALL INDIA CPWD EMPLOYEES UNIONPetitioner
Through: Mr. Deepak Khadaria, Adv.

versus

CS PRASAD DG CPWDRespondent
Through: Mr. Rajesh Gogna, CGSC with
Mr. Nipun Jain & Ms. Priya
Singh, Adv.

79

+ CONT.CAS(C) 260/2017

JOGINDER KUMAR SHARMAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Deepak Khadaria, Adv.

versus

ABHAY SINHA & ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Rajesh Gogna, CGSC with
Mr. Nipun Jain & Ms. Priya
Singh, Adv.

80

+ CONT.CAS(C) 413/2017 and CM APPL. 20455/2020, CM APPL. 20456/2020, CM APPL. 20457/2020

VIRENDER SINGH & ORSPetitioners
Through: Mr. Deepak Khadaria, Adv.

versus

ABHAY SINHA & ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Rajesh Gogna, CGSC with
Mr. Nipun Jain & Ms. Priya
Singh, Adv.



81

+ CONT.CAS(C) 641/2020

ALL INDIA CPWD EMPLOYEE UNIONPetitioner
Through: Mr. Deepak Khadaria, Adv.

versus

SHRI VIVEK BANSAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR GENERAL
CPWDRespondent
Through: Mr. Rajesh Gogna, CGSC with
Mr. Nipun Jain & Ms. Priya
Singh, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER
21.08.2024

%

1. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that Mr. Chetan Sharma, learned ASG is busy in some other Court and he had sought a Passover. Much time has been given awaiting the appearance of the learned ASG.
2. This Court *vide* a detailed order dated 04.12.2023 has already decided the present contempt petition and *vide* paragraph (12) of the said order, following observations were made:-

“In the said aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court has no hesitation in finding that the concerned officials of the respondents are prima facie guilty of wilful disobedience of the directions of this Court dated 25.09.2008. Issue Show Cause Notice to the present Director General, CPWD to appear before this Court and explain as to why he should not be held guilty of civil contempt of Court and be not punished accordingly. The entire record in original be preserved and be produced before this Court.”

3. It is evident that the respondents are audaciously attempting to wriggle out of the directions of this Court and trying to stall the proceedings. Mr. Rajesh Gogna, learned counsel for the respondent submits that exemption has been granted to the Director General from



personal appearance *vide* order dated 26.04.2024. If that is the case, the same stands revoked.

4. Accordingly, the Director General is directed to appear in person before this Court on 30.08.2024 at 11.30 AM to show cause as to why he should not be proceeded against as per the law.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

AUGUST 21, 2024/sa