



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1311/2007**

STATE BANK OF INDIA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Sangal, Mr. Mrinalini
Tandon, Ms. Richa Mishra & Ms.
Kashish Tewatia, Advs.

versus

KUNDAL LAL ARYA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Jitender Ratta, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHAIL JAIN

ORDER

%

25.02.2026

CM APPL. 7083/2026

1. The present application under Section 151 of CPC, 1908 has been filed on behalf of the Respondent seeking correction of the case title.
2. Ld. Counsel for the Respondent submits that the Respondent is 'Kundan Lal Arya' instead of Kundal Lal Arya.
3. Considering the fact that it is only a typographical error, the application is allowed.
4. Accordingly, the application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 1311/2007

5. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Management-Bank submits that payment of wages has been made to the workman in compliance with the order dated 06th January, 2026, passed under Section 17B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.



6. However, learned Counsel for the Respondent–Workman disputes the said contention and submits that the payment has been effected only on the basis of the last drawn wages and not in terms of the minimum wages. It is contended that the order dated 06th January, 2026 specifically directed that the workman be paid wages equivalent to the last drawn wages or the minimum wages, whichever is higher.
7. He further contends that since, on the date of the application as well as on the date of passing of the order, the notified minimum wages were higher than the last drawn wages, the Management–Bank was obligated to pay wages in terms of the minimum wages, being the higher of the two, in strict compliance with the order.
8. Let both the parties file the computation of wages paid to the workman within a period of two weeks from today.
9. Accordingly, list this matter on 09th April, 2026.

SHAIL JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2026/pd/HP